

37 Miller Street Toronto, Ontario M6N 2Z6 Email: trutth@yahoo.com; CANADA

July 22, 2009

Commissioner of Patents (USPTO)
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
USA

Phone: (1-703) 305 31 65 (applications)
Patent Central FAX Number: 571 – 273 - 8300
Phone: (1-571) 272 43 00 (PCT Help Desk)

**Subject:** Response to the office action by Examiner Michael P. Nghiem dated February 25, 2009 with time extension of 2-months

Ref: PCT/CA2005/001537: System and Method of Parallel Loadflow Calculation for Electrical Power System (US application no. 10/594715)

Dear Sir/Madam,

## Enclosed please find:

- 1. Amended copy of description, abstract (change only in the page number), and Fig. 4 of my application no. PCT/CA2005/0015372 that entered into USA national phase with US application no. 10/594715 (33-pages). This amendment is being provided in response to the office action dated February 25, 2009 by examiner Michael P. Nghiem dated February 25, 2009.
- 2. This response letter and amended claims (11-pages). In order to rewrite claims 19-27 as new, all the existing claims 1-18 are cancelled, which are listed as:

Claims 1-18: Cancelled Claims 19-27: New

3. A marked-up in red ink copy of the as filed description, abstract, and Fig. 4 of PCT/CA2005/001537 application (14-pages with contents on both sides), and marked-up in red ink copy of the amended claims marking the implementation of the suggestions made by the examiner (3-pages with contents on both sides).

#### **SUBMISSIONS**

These amendments have been made in order to respond to the examiner's several objections. I am grateful for the examiner's patience in examining my application and subsequent

Sureshchandra B. Patel

Application: PCT/CA2005/001537 (US application no. 10/594715)

Reply to office communication dated February 25, 2009 with two months of extended time

amendments. I have tried diligently to amend description, claims, and Fig. 4 of my application to more fully and clearly address the examiner's particular objections. In this regard, I have had note of certain US patents including US Patent No. 4,868,410 in the name of Nakamura as well as US Patent No. 5081591 in the name of Hanway.

# Response to Detailed Action dated February 25, 2009

### Oath/Declaration

My above referred application entered into US National Phase on September 29, 2006 along with other countries – Canada, India and Australia. I withdrew my priority claim by notification dated May 30, 2008 in order to get some extra time for consideration for entry into other than those above listed countries. However, my application PCT/CA2005/001537 ultimately could not be entered into other than those above listed countries, because of lack of funds.

I corresponded with WIPO about the validity of priority in countries were application PCT/CA2005/001537 already entered into national phases of USA, Canada, India and Australia before withdrawal of priority on December 14, 2006. Ms. Marie-jose Devillard of WIPO responded positively to my inquiry by her e-mail dated June 4, 2008 that states further to my request about the status of the priority claim in AU, CA, IN, US, please be informed that if I entered the national phase before those countries before I filed the notice of withdrawal, then the withdrawal did not concern those countries and priority claim was still valid.

Please also be informed that a notification is being sent to those designated offices to disregard their copy of form PCT/IB/317 faxed on 30 May 2008. The notification was mailed on 04 June 2008.

Copies of my e-mail inquiry dated May 29, 2008, e-mail response from WIPO dated June 4, 2008, and a notification dated 04 June 2008 sent to USPTO to disregard the copy of form PCT/IB/317 are enclosed for the consideration of USPTO (2-pages).

Furthermore, I, the inventor and applicant of PCT/CA2005/001537, hereby withdraw my notification dated May 30, 2008 to reinstate priority date of October 1, 2004 and foreign priority document CA 2479603.

Therefore, it is believed that substitute declaration or oath to correct the deficiency set forth – delete the claim to foreign priority document CA 2479603 in the office action dated February 25, 2009 is not required to be filed.

### **Specification**

The substitute specification filed on June 30, 2008 had not been entered because it did not confirm to 37 VFR 1.125(b), because it was not accompanied by a statement that the substitute specification contained no new matter. Therefore, I would like to take this opportunity to provide new amended description, abstract, and Fig. 4 along with marked-up in red ink copy as filed. The new amended description provide additional supportive description for the new claim-21. Also, Fig. 4 is amended to include missing component of Input/Output unit. Further,

Sureshchandra B. Patel

Application: PCT/CA2005/001537 (US application no. 10/594715)